

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/581,432	HOY, KEVIN DOUGLAS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROBERTA DELISLE	3677

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ROBERTA DELISLE. (3) KEVIN HOY.

(2) MICHAEL BUJOLD. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 December 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion & agreement of updating the drawings (figures 1, 2, 3 & 5) to show components (2 & 8) made from the same material by using the same pattern or shading and heavier defining line between the componnets in figure 1. Also, tile change from "Anchoring Methods & Products of such Products" to "Anchoring Products & Methods of such Products".

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.